## Of Counsel: CLAY CHAPMAN CRUMPTON IWAMURA & PULICE ROBERT E. CHAPMAN #2679 #5475 MARY MARTIN Topa Tower, Suite 2100 700 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone: (808) 535-8400 Facsimile: (808) 535-8444 rchapman@paclawteam.com mmartin@paclawteam.com Attorneys for Defendant Robert Alan Jones IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII ) CIVIL NO. 01-0182 HG/LEK CAROL J. NELSON, Plaintiff, DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF POSITION AS TO CLARIFICATION AS TO VS. REMAINING PARTIES AND ROBERT ALAN JONES, CLAIMS; CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Defendants, HEARING: Date: February 24, 2006 ROBERT ALAN JONES, Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Helen Gillmor Counterclaimant, vs. JURY TRIAL: Tentative CAROL J. NELSON, MICHAEL Date: April 18, 2006 CETRARO, TRIAL JUDGE: Magistrate

Counterclaim Defendants.

Judge Kevin S.C. Chang

## DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF POSITION AS TO CLARIFICATION AS TO REMAINING PARTIES AND CLAIMS

Defendant Robert Alan Jones, through his counsel, Clay Chapman Crumpton Iwamura & Pulice, pursuant to this court's oral ruling and the Minutes of the hearing on February 24, 2006, provides the following supplemental statement of position as to the issue of the remaining parties and remaining claims, following the issuance of the opinion of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

The Memorandum issued by the Ninth Circuit states that the appeal is from this court's order granting summary judgment. This court's summary judgment order addressed the counterclaim in its entirety, not only portions thereof. The ruling of the Ninth Circuit, which mentions only certain specific issues, is not, by its wording, limited to only portions of the summary judgment ruling.

For example, in the ruling as to "Conversion of Assets" (Counterclaim 4) (p.39 of this court's Order), this court's dismissal of the claim is based substantially on the position that Jones' payments were obligated pursuant to the Agreement of Sale. The Ninth

Circuit specifically questioned this court's ruling that the Agreement of Sale was invalid, and noted that there remained factual issues concerning failure of the Licensing Agreement. Insofar as this court granted Nelson's summary judgment as to the conversion claim based on Jones' purported breach of the Agreement of Sale, the Ninth Circuit ruling affects this court's dismissal of the Conversion of Assets claim.

Further, the Ninth Circuit's ruling addresses

Plaintiff's contention that the appeal was moot by

stating that specific performance - another of the

counterclaim issues - may be remedied by some equitable

relief. In other words, the Ninth Circuit addressed the

entire summary judgment ruling, and considered dismissal

of the counterclaim as within the scope of the appeal.

The Ninth Circuit thereafter vacated and remanded, without limitation - i.e. in its entirety. It is Defendant Jones' position that the language of any briefs, arguments, or unreported or not published opinion is therefore of no effect. The Order issued by the Ninth Circuit controls.

For those reasons, Defendant Jones respectfully requests that this court enter a clarification ruling that the entire counterclaim remains at issue, and that Michael Cetraro continues to be a party to this action.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, March 10, 2006.

/s/ Mary Martin
ROBERT E. CHAPMAN
MARY MARTIN
Attorneys for Defendant
Robert Alan Jones

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

CAROL J. NELSON,	) CIVIL NO. 01-0182 HG/LEK
Plaintiff,	) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
vs.	) )
ROBERT ALAN JONES,	)
Defendants,	) )
ROBERT ALAN JONES,	) ) )
Counterclaimant,	)
vs.	) )
CAROL J. NELSON, MICHAEL CETRARO,	) ) )
Counterclaim Defendants.	) ) )
	)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was duly served, by hand delivery, to the following parties at their last known address:

TO: ENVER W. PAINTER, Jr., Esq. 1188 Bishop St., Suite 2505

Honolulu, HI 96813

Attorney for Plaintiff, and for Counterclaim

Defendant Michael Cetraro

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, March 10, 2006.

/s/ Mary Martin

ROBERT E. CHAPMAN

MARY MARTIN

Attorneys for Defendant Robert

A. Jones